

On the Hitchin System

BERT VAN GEEMEN

University of Utrecht, The Netherlands

EMMA PREVIATO

Boston University, USA *

1 Introduction

1.1 What is known as the Hitchin system is a completely integrable hamiltonian system (CIHS) involving vector bundles over algebraic curves, identified by Hitchin in ([H1], [H2]). It was recently generalized by Faltings [F]. In this paper we only consider the case of rank-two vector bundles with trivial determinant. In that case the Hitchin system corresponding to a curve C of genus g is obtained as follows. Let

$$\mathcal{M} := \{E \rightarrow C : E \text{ a semi-stable rank two bundle, } \wedge^2 E \cong \mathcal{O}\} / \sim_S$$

be the moduli space of (S-equivalence classes of) semi-stable rank-two vector bundles on C . Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective variety (singular if $g > 2$) of dimension $3g - 3$.

The locus of stable bundles $\mathcal{M}^s$ is the set of smooth points of $\mathcal{M}$ for $g > 2$. The cotangent space of $\mathcal{M}$ at a stable bundle E is :

$$T_E^* \mathcal{M} = \text{Hom}_0(E, E \otimes K), \text{ with } \text{Hom}_0(E, E \otimes K) := H^0(C, \mathcal{E}nd_0(E) \otimes K)$$

where $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E)$ is the sheaf of endomorphisms of E with trace zero and K is the canonical bundle on C . A $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_0(E, E \otimes K)$ is called a Higgs field. The determinant of a Higgs field $\det(\Phi) \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 E, \wedge^2(E \otimes K)) = H^0(C, 2K)$ gives a map

$$\det : T_E^* \mathcal{M} = \text{Hom}_0(E, E \otimes K) \longrightarrow H^0(C, 2K),$$

which globalizes to a map on $T^* \mathcal{M}^s$. Hitchin considered the map:

$$H : T^* \mathcal{M}^s \longrightarrow H^0(C, 2K), \quad \Phi \mapsto \det(\Phi)$$

*Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9105221 at Boston University and DMS-9022140 at MSRI.

and showed that it is a CIHS in the following sense: the functions on $T^*\mathcal{M}^s$ that one obtains by choosing any basis in $H^0(C, 2K)$ are a complete set of hamiltonians in involution (with respect to the natural symplectic structure on a cotangent bundle). Since $\det$ is homogeneous of degree two in the fibre variables ($\det(t\Phi) = t^2\det(\Phi)$), one can define a (rational) projective Hitchin map

$$\bar{H} : \mathbf{PT}^*\mathcal{M}^s \longrightarrow \mathbf{PH}^0(C, 2K) = |2K|$$

and it is in fact this map that we consider.

1.2 In the first two sections of this paper we define and study a set of Higgs fields associated to any semi-stable bundle E . These results are then applied to the $g = 2$ case; they may also be of independent interest for studying moduli spaces of Higgs bundles, which are pairs (E, Φ) as above, with certain restrictions.

To study $\mathcal{M}$ as a projective variety (see [NR1], [B1], [B2]) one associates to any $E \in \mathcal{M}$ a divisor D_E in the Jacobian of C using which, questions on rank two bundles are rephrased in terms of line bundles (and extensions). We exhibit a natural map

$$\phi_E : D_E^{sm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{PHom}_0(E, E \otimes K), \quad \xi \mapsto \Phi_\xi$$

(with D_E^{sm} the smooth points of D_E) and we are able to compute $\det(\Phi_\xi)$. The result is best stated in a diagram (the stable case of Proposition 2.8): For any stable bundle E the following diagram commutes:

$$(1.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{PT}_E^*\mathcal{M} & \\ \phi_E \nearrow & & \searrow \bar{H} \\ D_E^{sm} & & \mathbf{PH}^0(C, 2K) \\ \psi_E \searrow & & \nearrow Sq \\ & \mathbf{PH}^0(K) & \end{array}$$

here ψ_E is just the Gauss map of the divisor D_E inside the Jacobian and $Sq(\omega) = \omega \otimes \omega$.

Thus the divisor D_E (rather, its image in $\mathbf{PT}_E^*\mathcal{M}$) plays an important role in the study of the fibers of $\bar{H}$ over the quadratic differentials which are squares of one forms. However, our fiberwise approach (for the map $T^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$) is, in a sense, perpendicular to Hitchin's approach which studies the fibers of $H : T^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow H^0(C, 2K)$. That approach establishes that such a fiber, over a (general) quadratic differential η is the Prym variety associated with a 'spectral' double cover $C_\eta \rightarrow C$ defined by η ([H2], [BNR]). It would be interesting to relate our results to a study of the fibers of H .

1.3 In the remaining sections we apply these results to investigate the case $g = 2$. Then the space $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^3$ ([NR1]), so that we look for a CIHS on $T^*\mathbf{P}^3$ (and also on the open subset $T^*\mathbf{C}^3$).

Using information on ϕ_E from §3 we work out the maps of the diagram (1.2.1) in the genus two case in §4. Here we encounter some classical algebraic geometry of curves of genus two and three. It turns out that finding H explicitly involves a problem in line geometry in $\mathbf{P}^3$ (a sketch of the solution in fact appears in J.H. Grace's article "Line Geometry" in the Encyclopaedia Britannica, 1911). We can thus make an educated guess as to what the explicit hamiltonians should be. A computer calculation (using the *Mathematica* system) showed that our candidates actually define a CIHS. We are not able to show that our hamiltonians define the Hitchin map, but we can prove that Hitchin's hamiltonians and ours differ by multiplication by functions from the base (an open set in $\mathbf{P}^3$). For a more precise result we would have to extend the results of section 4 to enlarge the open set in the base were those results hold, or we would need further information on Hitchin's system.

1.4 Acknowledgements. The first named author wishes to thank: the University of Pavia for a six month stay where much of the work on this paper was done, the NSF for supporting visits to Boston University under Grant DMS-9105221, and J. de Jong for helpful discussions.

The second named author wishes to acknowledge: Carolyn Gordon's invitation to MSRI for two weeks of the special year in Differential Geometry 1993/94 (research at MSRI supported in part by NSF grant # DMS 9022140), and participation in the LMS/Europroj Workshop "Vector bundles in algebraic geometry" (Durham, 1993; organizers N. Hitchin, P. Newstead and W.M. Oxbury), on which occasion N. Hitchin provided generous insight.

2 Higgs fields

2.1 We fix some notations and recall some basic facts.

In this paper C will be a smooth, irreducible projective curve of genus $g > 1$ over $\mathbf{C}$ and E will be a rank two semi-stable bundle on C with trivial determinant.

Since $\wedge^2 E \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, we have:

$$E \wedge E = \mathcal{O}_C, \quad \text{so} \quad E \cong E^* := \mathcal{H}om(E, \mathcal{O}_C), \quad e \mapsto [f \mapsto e \wedge f]$$

thus E is self-dual. This gives isomorphisms:

$$E \otimes E \cong E^* \otimes E = \mathcal{E}nd(E), \quad S^2 E \cong \mathcal{E}nd_0(E)$$

with $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E) \subset \mathcal{E}nd(E)$ the sheaf of endomorphisms of trace zero. We recall that $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E) := H^0(C, \mathcal{E}nd_0(E)) = 0$ for a stable bundle E , the only endomorphisms of E being scalar multiples of the identity. Thus: $H^0(C, S^2 E) = 0$.

For a vector space V , we let $\mathbf{P}V$ be the space of one dimensional linear subspaces of V .

2.2 We will construct Higgs fields by relating E to line bundles. Such a connection is provided by the following results. Let E be a semi-stable rank two bundle on C with $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$. Associated to E is a divisor ([B1], 2.2):

$$D_E := \{\xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) : \dim H^0(\xi \otimes E) > 0\}.$$

With its natural scheme structure, D_E is linearly equivalent to 2Θ . Here Θ is the natural theta divisor:

$$\Theta := \{\xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) : \dim H^0(C, \xi) > 0\}, \quad D_E \in |2\Theta|.$$

On $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ there is a natural involution:

$$\iota : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \quad \xi \mapsto K \otimes \xi^{-1}.$$

All divisors in $|2\Theta|$ are invariant under the involution ι . For a D_E that is easy to check since by Riemann-Roch and Serre duality:

$$\dim H^0(\xi \otimes E) = \dim H^1(\xi \otimes E) = \dim H^0(\xi^{-1} \otimes K \otimes E).$$

Note that $H^0(\xi^{-1} \otimes K \otimes E) = \text{Hom}(\xi, E \otimes K)$ and that, since E is self-dual, $H^0(\xi \otimes E) = \text{Hom}(E, \xi)$. Thus we have:

$$\xi \in D_E \iff \text{Hom}(E, \xi) \neq 0 \iff \text{Hom}(\xi, E \otimes K) \neq 0.$$

2.3 For any semi-stable E (cf. [L], Cor. V.6):

$$\xi \in D_E^{sm} \implies \dim H^0(\xi \otimes E) = 1.$$

Thus for $\xi \in D_E^{sm}$ there are unique (up to scalar multiple) maps:

$$\pi : E \longrightarrow \xi, \quad \tau : \xi \longrightarrow E \otimes K.$$

The composition

$$\tau \circ \pi : E \longrightarrow E \otimes K$$

is an element of $\text{Hom}(E, E \otimes K)$, defined (up to scalar multiple) by ξ .

2.4 Definitions. Let E be a semi-stable rank-two bundle on C with $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$. We define rational maps:

$$\phi_E : D_E^{sm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}\text{Hom}_0(E, E \otimes K),$$

$$\xi \mapsto \Phi_\xi := \tau \circ \pi - (1/2)(\text{id}_E \otimes \text{tr}(\tau \circ \pi)) : E \otimes \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow E \otimes K$$

and

$$\psi_E : D_E^{sm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(C, K) = \mathbf{P}\text{Hom}(\xi, \xi \otimes K),$$

$$\psi_E(\xi) = (\pi \otimes \text{id}_K) \circ \tau : \xi \xrightarrow{\tau} E \otimes K \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes 1} \xi \otimes K.$$

2.5 Now we have a large supply of Higgs fields, the Φ_ξ 's. It is surprisingly easy to determine ψ_E . In Proposition 2.8 we will see how that already determines the Hitchin map to a large extent.

Recall that the cotangent bundle to $Pic^{g-1}(C)$ is trivial:

$$T^*Pic^{g-1}(C) \cong Pic^{g-1}(C) \times H^1(Pic^0(C), \mathcal{O})^*.$$

For a smooth point ξ in a divisor $D \subset Pic^{g-1}(C)$ the tangent space to D at ξ is then defined by an element of $H^1(Pic^0(C), \mathcal{O})^*$, unique up to scalar multiple. The corresponding morphism $D^{sm} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}H^1(Pic^0(C), \mathcal{O})^*$ is called the Gauss map.

2.6 Proposition. The map ψ_E is the Gauss map on $D_E \subset Pic^{g-1}(C)$.

$$\psi_E : D_E^{sm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^1(Pic^0(C), \mathcal{O})^* = \mathbf{P}H^0(C, K).$$

In particular, ψ_E is a morphism.

Proof. By [L], Prop. V.2, we know that for $\xi \in D_E^{sm}$ the space

$$T_\xi D_E \subset T_\xi Pic^{g-1}(C) = H^1(Pic^0(C), \mathcal{O}) = H^1(C, \mathcal{O})$$

is defined by the image of the cup-product map

$$H^0(C, E \otimes \xi) \otimes H^0(C, E \otimes \iota(\xi)) \longrightarrow H^0(C, K) \cong H^1(C, \mathcal{O})^*.$$

This map coincides with the composition:

$$Hom(E \otimes K, \xi \otimes K) \otimes Hom(\xi, E \otimes K) \longrightarrow Hom(\xi, \xi \otimes K) \cong H^0(K),$$

and in our case we recover the definition of ψ_E :

$$(\pi \otimes 1) \otimes \tau \mapsto (\pi \otimes 1) \circ \tau = \psi_E(\xi).$$

(One may in fact also consider $Hom(E, \xi_\eta)$ where ξ_η is a deformation of ξ given by $\eta \in H^1(C, \mathcal{O})$. Then $\eta \in T_\xi D_E$ iff $\pi \in Hom(E, \xi)$ lifts to $Hom(E, \xi_\eta)$ iff $\pi \cup \eta = 0 \in Ext^1(E, \xi) = H^1(E \otimes \xi)$, which gives the statement above. The justification for this argument is given in [L], II.) $\square$

2.7 We are interested in computing the determinant of the Higgs field Φ_ξ . Since the maps in 2.4 are only defined up to scalar multiple, we consider

$$det : Hom_0(E, E \otimes K) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(C, 2K), \quad \Phi \mapsto \langle det(\Phi) \rangle.$$

Let

$$Sq : H^0(C, K) \longrightarrow H^0(C, 2K), \quad \omega \mapsto \omega^{\otimes 2}.$$

2.8 Proposition. For a semi-stable E and $\xi \in D_E^{sm}$ we have:

$$\det(\Phi_\xi) = \psi_E(\xi)^{\otimes 2} \quad (\in \mathbf{P}H^0(C, 2K)).$$

Thus, the compositions $\det \circ \phi_E$ and $Sq \circ \psi_E$ coincide:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \det \circ \phi_E : & D_E^{sm} & \xrightarrow{\phi_E} & \mathbf{P}Hom_0(E, E \otimes K) & \xrightarrow{\det} & \mathbf{P}H^0(C, 2K), \\ Sq \circ \psi_E : & D_E^{sm} & \xrightarrow{\psi_E} & \mathbf{P}H^0(C, K) & \xrightarrow{Sq} & \mathbf{P}H^0(C, 2K). \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $\psi_E : D_E^{sm} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(C, K)$ is a morphism, $\psi_E(\xi)$ is (represented by) a non-zero differential form for each $\xi \in D_E^{sm}$. Define a canonical divisor on C by:

$$K_\xi := (\psi_E(\xi)), \quad \text{let } U := C - \text{Support}(K_\xi),$$

On the open set U , the map (defined by) $\psi_E(\xi) : \xi \rightarrow \xi \otimes K$ is an isomorphism. Its inverse, composed with $\tau : \xi \rightarrow E \otimes K$, gives a map $\xi \otimes K \rightarrow E \otimes K$ which splits the map $\pi \otimes 1 : E \otimes K \rightarrow \xi \otimes K$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi & & \psi_E(\xi) \\ \tau \downarrow & \searrow & \\ E \otimes K & \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes 1} & \xi \otimes K \end{array}$$

Thus over U , the bundle E splits:

$$E|_U \cong L \oplus \xi|_U, \quad \text{with } L := \ker(\pi_U : E|_U \longrightarrow \xi|_U)$$

and L is a line bundle on U . Since $\Phi_\xi := \tau\pi - (1/2)\text{tr}(\tau\pi)$, we get $\Phi_{\xi|U}(L) = 0$ so that:

$$\Phi_{\xi|U} = \begin{pmatrix} -(1/2)\psi_E(\xi) & * \\ 0 & (1/2)\psi_E(\xi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\det(\Phi_{\xi|U}) = -(1/4)\psi_E(\xi)^{\otimes 2}$, which does not vanish at any point of U .

If D_E is irreducible, the image of the Gauss map on D_E^{sm} contains an open subset of $\mathbf{P}H^0(C, K)$. Thus, for general ξ on such a D_E , $2K_\xi$ is the only divisor in $\mathbf{P}H^0(C, 2K)$ with support in $C - U$. Since $\det(\Phi_\xi) \in H^0(C, 2K)$ and since its divisor must have support in $C - U$, we conclude that

$$(\det(\Phi_\xi)) = 2K_\xi.$$

For general C , the rank of the Néron-Severi group of $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ is one, and then the reducible divisors in $|2\Theta|$ are a subvariety of dimension g (they are unions of two translates of Θ). Since $\Delta(\mathcal{M})$ (see 3.1) has dimension $3g - 3$ ([B1]), the divisor D_E is irreducible for general C . Thus if we work in a family of D_E 's over a general family of curves containing the given D_E , the maps $\xi \mapsto \det(\Phi_\xi)$ and $\xi \mapsto \psi_E(\xi)^{\otimes 2}$ agree on a non-empty open subset, hence must agree everywhere. $\square$

3 The map ϕ_E

3.1 In this section we consider only stable bundles E and we study the map $\phi_E : D_E^{sm} \rightarrow \mathbf{PT}_E^* \mathcal{M}$. We use the codifferential of the map:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow |2\Theta|, \quad E \mapsto D_E$$

to relate the cotangent bundles of $\mathcal{M}$ and of the projective space $|2\Theta|$. First of all we recall some facts on the cotangent bundle to a projective space and on the dual of $|2\Theta|$ (following [NR2], § 3).

3.2 Let V be a vector space. The dual of the Euler sequence on $\mathbf{P}V$ gives:

$$0 \longrightarrow T^* \mathbf{P}V \longrightarrow V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0,$$

the last non-trivial map is given by $(\dots, s_i, \dots) \mapsto \dots + x_i s_i + \dots$ over $(\dots : x_i : \dots) \in \mathbf{P}V$.

Taking the associated projective bundles we have an isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{PT}^* \mathbf{P}V \cong I := \{ (x, h) \in \mathbf{P}V \times \mathbf{P}V^* : x \in h \},$$

the variety I is called the incidence bundle.

3.3 To identify the dual of $|2\Theta|$ we use the map:

$$\delta : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \longrightarrow |2\Theta_0|, \quad \xi \mapsto D_\xi := L_\xi^* \Theta + L_{\iota(\xi)}^* \Theta,$$

here Θ_0 is (any) symmetric theta divisor in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ (the linear equivalence class of $2\Theta_0$ is independent of the choice) and

$$L_\alpha : \text{Pic}(C) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(C), \quad \beta \mapsto \alpha \otimes \beta$$

is translation by α in $\text{Pic}(C)$.

Pulling back the linear forms on $|2\Theta_0|$ gives an isomorphism

$$\delta^* : H^0(|2\Theta_0|, \mathcal{O}(1)) = H^0(\text{Pic}^0(C), 2\Theta_0)^* \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), 2\Theta).$$

Projectivizing gives $\delta^* : |2\Theta_0|^* \xrightarrow{\cong} |2\Theta|$. In fact, there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & |2\Theta|^* \\ & \nearrow \nu & \\ \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) & & \downarrow (\delta^*)^* \\ & \searrow \delta & \\ & & |2\Theta_0| \end{array}$$

where ν is the natural map (see [NR2] and [B2] §2 for a variant). From now on, I will be the incidence bundle:

$$I := \mathbf{PT}^* |2\Theta| \subset |2\Theta| \times |2\Theta_0|.$$

We will denote by $(d\Delta)^*$ the projectivized codifferential of Δ (see 3.1):

$$(d\Delta)^* : \mathbf{PT}^* |2\Theta| = I \longrightarrow \mathbf{PT}^* \mathcal{M}.$$

3.4 Lemma. Let $D \in |2\Theta|$ and let $\xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$. Then

$$(D, D_\xi) \in I \iff \xi \in D.$$

Proof. For $\xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$, $\nu(\xi) \in |2\Theta|^*$ is the hyperplane in $|2\Theta|$ consisting of the divisors passing through ξ . Thus $D \in |2\Theta|$ and $\xi \in |2\Theta|^*$ are incident iff $\xi \in D$. The dual of the isomorphism δ^* maps $\nu(\xi)$ to D_ξ so the result follows. $\square$

3.5 We recall that for non-hyperelliptic curves, the map Δ has degree one over its image [B1] (so it is locally an isomorphism with its image for generic E) and is an embedding for the general curve as recently announced by Y. Laszlo and also by S. Brivio and A. Verra jointly. In case $g = 2$ the map is an isomorphism [NR1] but for hyperelliptic curves of genus greater than two the map is 2:1 and ‘ramifies’ along a subvariety of dimension $2g - 1$ [B1].

3.6 Proposition. Let E be a stable bundle such that the map Δ is locally at E an isomorphism with its image. Then the rational map

$$\phi_E : D_E \longrightarrow \mathbf{PT}_E^* \mathcal{M}, \quad \xi \mapsto \Phi_\xi,$$

is the left-hand column in the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_E & \hookrightarrow & \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ \mathbf{PT}_{D_E}^* |2\Theta| & \hookrightarrow & |2\Theta_0| \\ (d\Delta_E)^* \downarrow & & \\ \mathbf{PT}_E^* \mathcal{M} & & \end{array}$$

where the last vertical arrow is a linear projection given by the dual of the differential of Δ at $E \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in D_E$. Then $\delta(\xi) = D_\xi \in |2\Theta_0| = |2\Theta|^*$ corresponds to a hyperplane $H_\xi \subset |2\Theta|$. By Lemma 3.4, $\xi \in D_E$ implies $D_E \in H_\xi \subset |2\Theta|$. This says that H_ξ passes through $D_E = \Delta(E) \in \Delta(\mathcal{M}) \subset |2\Theta|$ and (by the assumption on local isomorphism) defines a codimension ≤ 1 subspace in $T_E \mathcal{M}$. We must show that $\Phi_\xi (\in T_E^* \mathcal{M})$ is the defining equation for this subspace.

We first determine $H_\xi \cap \Delta(\mathcal{M})$; the pull-back $\Delta^* H_\xi$ will be the divisor $\tilde{D}_\xi$ defined below; in particular, the subspace of $T_E \mathcal{M}$ defined by H_ξ is $T_E \tilde{D}_\xi$.

We recall from [B1] that

$$Pic(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathbf{Z}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L} := \Delta^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$$

is the ample generator of this group. Moreover, the natural map

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})^*$$

actually coincides with Δ .

Define for $\xi \in Pic^{g-1}(C)$:

$$\tilde{D}_\xi := \{E \in \mathcal{M} : H^0(C, E \otimes \xi) \neq 0\}.$$

This divisor, with its natural scheme structure, is defined by a section of $H^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$.

Restriction to the Kummer variety of $Pic^0(C)$ (= locus of non-stable bundles) in $\mathcal{M}$ induces the isomorphism ([B1]):

$$\mathbf{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\cong} |2\Theta_0|, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{D}_\xi \mapsto D_\xi$$

(indeed, for $L \in Pic^0(C)$ one has $H^0((L \oplus L^{-1}) \otimes \xi) > 0$ iff $L \in L_\xi^* \Theta$ or $L \in L_{\iota(\xi)}^* \Theta$ iff $L \in D_\xi$). Now, by definition, the hyperplane H_ξ intersects $Pic^0(C)$ in D_ξ so that H_ξ intersects $\mathcal{M}$ in $\tilde{D}_\xi$, as desired.

We must now show that Φ_ξ defines the subspace $T_E \tilde{D}_\xi$ of $T_E \mathcal{M}$. The divisor $\tilde{D}_\xi$ is (the closure of) the image of the (rational) map:

$$\rho : \mathbf{P}H^1(\xi^{-2}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad \epsilon \mapsto [E_\epsilon]$$

where E_ϵ is the extension defined by $\epsilon \in Ext^1(\xi, \xi^{-1}) = H^1(\xi^{-2})$,

$$(3.6.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \xi^{-1} \longrightarrow E_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\pi} \xi \longrightarrow 0.$$

These maps were studied in detail by Bertram in [Be]. We will now assume $\pi : E \rightarrow \xi$ to be surjective, so ξ^{-1} is a subbundle of E . By specialization the result follows for all $\xi \in D_E$, all E .

We tensor the sequence 3.6.1 by E , obtaining the following sequence for $S^2 E$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \xi^{-2} \longrightarrow S^2 E \longrightarrow \xi \otimes E \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E) \cong S^2 E$, the differential of ρ is the natural map:

$$d\rho : H^1(\xi^{-2})/H^0(\xi \otimes E) \longrightarrow H^1(S^2 E) = T_E \mathcal{M}, \quad \text{so} \quad T_E \tilde{D}_\xi = Im(d\rho).$$

Dualizing the sequence above and tensoring it by K we get:

$$0 \longrightarrow \xi^{-1} \otimes E \otimes K \longrightarrow S^2 E \otimes K \longrightarrow \xi^2 \otimes K \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi_\xi \rangle &= \text{Im}(H^0(\xi^{-1} \otimes E \otimes K) = \langle \tau \rangle \longrightarrow H^0(S^2 E \otimes K)) \\
&= \ker(H^1(E \otimes \xi) \longleftarrow H^1(S^2 E))^* \\
&= \text{Im}(H^1(S^2 E) \longleftarrow H^1(\xi^{-2}))^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have indeed, as claimed:

$$T_E \tilde{D}_\xi = \ker(\Phi_\xi).$$

□

3.7 The previous proposition shows that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)}(2\Theta)|_{D_E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E)$ plays an essential role as regards the map ϕ_E . Recall that the divisor D_E is invariant under the involution ι (see 2.2). The following lemma shows how ι acts on the global sections of this line bundle.

3.8 Lemma. The involution ι gives a splitting in an invariant and an anti-invariant part:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^0(D_E, \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E)) &= H^0(D_E, \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E))_+ \oplus H^0(D_E, \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E))_- \\
&\cong H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O}(D_E))/\langle s_E \rangle \oplus H^1(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $s_E \in H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O}(D_E))$ is a section with divisor $(s_E) = D_E$.

The map ϕ_E factors over the natural map

$$D_E \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(D_E, \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E))_+^* (\cong \mathbf{P}T_{D_E}^*|2\Theta|).$$

The map ψ_E (the Gauss map) is the natural rational map:

$$D_E \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(D_E, \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E))_-^* \cong \mathbf{P}H^1(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O})^*.$$

Therefore both ϕ_E and ψ_E factor over $\bar{D}_E$.

Proof. The exact sequence of sheaves on $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\cdot s_E} \mathcal{O}(D_E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E) \longrightarrow 0$$

gives the cohomology sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O}(D_E))/\langle s_E \rangle \longrightarrow H^0(D_E, \mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E)) \longrightarrow H^1(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is well known that ι^* acts as the identity on $H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O}(D_E))$ and as minus the identity on $H^1(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O})$. The remaining assertions are standard. □

4 The genus two case

4.1 To determine the Hitchin map in the genus two case, we study first the divisors D_E for general stable E and we study three of the four maps from the diagram 1.2.1 (see 4.5, 4.6). This leads to quite classical geometry involving for instance étale double covers and tangent conics. Then we can easily determine the fourth map, which is Hitchin's map (projectivized and restricted to $\mathbf{PT}_E^*\mathcal{M}$). We then 'rigidify' our construction using the classical Proposition 4.10.

From now on, C will be a genus two curve.

4.2 The main result of [NR1] is that the map

$$\Delta : \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\cong} |2\Theta| \cong \mathbf{P}^3, \quad E \mapsto D_E$$

is an isomorphism, so $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{P}^3$.

In particular, any element in $|2\Theta|$ is a D_E for some E . Since this linear system is base-point free, the divisors, now in fact curves, D_E are smooth and have genus 5 for general stable E (a description of the singular curves in $|2\Theta|$ can be found in [V]).

4.3 Each divisor in $|2\Theta|$ is fixed by ι and for general E , the involution ι restricted to D_E is a fixed-point free involution on a smooth curve. The induced covering

$$\pi_E : D_E \longrightarrow \bar{D}_E := D_E/\iota$$

is an étale 2:1 covering (the associated Prym variety is $Jac(C)$, see for example [V], p. 438). In particular, for general E , $\bar{D}_E$ is a smooth genus three curve. From now on we will consider only such E .

4.4 The kernel of the map $\pi_E^* : Pic(\bar{D}_E) \rightarrow Pic(D_E)$ is generated by a point α of order two. One has:

$$\pi_E^* K_{\bar{D}_E} \cong K_{D_E}, \quad \pi_{E*} \mathcal{O}_{D_E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}_E} \oplus \alpha.$$

The adjunction formula on $Pic^{g-1}(C)$ shows $\mathcal{O}_{D_E}(D_E) \cong K_{D_E}$. The involution ι gives a splitting in an invariant and an anti-invariant part:

$$H^0(D_E, K_{D_E}) \cong H^0(\bar{D}_E, \pi_* K_{D_E}) \cong H^0(\bar{D}_E, K_{\bar{D}_E}) \oplus H^0(\bar{D}_E, K_{\bar{D}_E} \otimes \alpha),$$

(projection formula) which coincides with the splitting given in Lemma 3.8. In particular, since $H^1(Pic^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O}) \cong H^1(C, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^0(C, K)^*$, we have a natural identification

$$H^0(C, K) \cong H^0(\bar{D}_E, K_{\bar{D}_E} \otimes \alpha)^*.$$

4.5 We will now write C_3 for $\bar{D}_E$, K_3 for $K_{\bar{D}_E}$. The Gauss map on D_E then factors over C_3 , and on C_3 coincides with the natural map

$$C_3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)^* \cong \mathbf{P}H^1(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \mathcal{O})^* \cong \mathbf{P}^1$$

which is therefore also essentially ψ_E .

The map $Sq : |K| \rightarrow |2K|$ from diagram 1.2.1 corresponds to the second Veronese map which embeds $\mathbf{P}^1$ as a conic in $\mathbf{P}^2$. The (three dimensional) space $S^2H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)^*$ may be identified with a quotient of the (six dimensional) $H^0(C_3, 2K_3)^*$ (note $2(K_3 \otimes \alpha) \equiv 2K_3$), thus we have a diagram (where the last map is a linear projection):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D_E & \xrightarrow{\psi_E} & |K| & \xrightarrow{Sq} & |2K| \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ D_E & \xrightarrow{\pi_E} & C_3 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{P}H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)^* & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}S^2H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)^* \leftarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(C_3, 2K_3)^*. \end{array}$$

4.6 As $\Delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow |2\Theta| = \mathbf{P}^3$, is an isomorphism, the cotangent bundle to $\mathcal{M}$ is the incidence bundle. The map $(d\Delta)^*$ induces an isomorphism.

$$(d\Delta)^* : I = \{(x, h) \in \mathbf{P}^3 \times (\mathbf{P}^3)^* : x \in h\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{P}T^*\mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}T_{D_E}^*|2\Theta| \cong \mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M}.$$

From Lemma 3.8 and 4.4 we get:

$$\phi_E : D_E \xrightarrow{\pi_E} C_3 \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbf{P}H^0(C_3, K_3)^* \cong \mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M}$$

where κ is just the canonical map.

4.7 We show how the various C_3 's ($= \bar{D}_E$'s) fit together as E moves over $\mathbf{P}^3 (= \mathcal{M})$. The image S of the map

$$\delta : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \longrightarrow S \subset |2\Theta_0| = \mathbf{P}H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), 2\Theta)^* = (\mathbf{P}^3)^*.$$

(see 3.3) is the Kummer surface of $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$:

$$S \cong \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)/\iota, \quad \iota : L \mapsto K \otimes L^{-1}.$$

The surface S is a quartic surface and its singular locus consists of the 16 fixed points of ι (which are the theta characteristics on C).

Moreover, we can view $\mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M}$ as a plane in $(\mathbf{P}^3)^*$:

$$\mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M} = \{h \in (\mathbf{P}^3)^* : E \in h\}.$$

Proposition 3.6 shows:

$$\mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M} \cap S = \phi_E(D_E), \quad (\text{with } \phi_E : D_E \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M}).$$

Thus $\phi_E(D_E)$ is a hyperplane section of the Kummer surface S , hence a quartic plane curve. For general E , this curve will be smooth (i.e. the curve $\bar{D}_E$ is non-hyperelliptic). We consider only these E .

4.8 The curve C_3 is now non-hyperelliptic by assumption, so the canonical map κ is an embedding, and the image of C_3 is a smooth quartic in $\mathbf{P}^2$. Pull-back along κ gives an isomorphism $H^0(C_3, 2K_3) \cong H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$.

Let s, t be a basis of $H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)$. We define conics Q_i in $\mathbf{P}^2$ by:

$$s \otimes s = Q_1, \quad s \otimes t = Q_2, \quad t \otimes t = Q_3$$

and the essential part (that is, on $D_E/\iota = C_3$) of $Sq \circ \psi_E$ is now:

$$C_3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}S^2H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)^* \cong \mathbf{P}^2,$$

$$x \mapsto (s^2(x) : st(x) : t^2(x)) = (Q_1(\kappa(x)) : Q_2(\kappa(x)) : Q_3(\kappa(x))).$$

Since $Sq \circ \psi_E = \bar{H} \circ \phi_E$, we conclude that the Hitchin map is given by:

$$\bar{H} : \mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}H^0(2K) = |2K|, \quad p \mapsto (Q_1(p) : Q_2(p) : Q_3(p))$$

(since $\kappa(C_3) = \phi_E(D_E)$ has degree 4, spans $\mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{H}$ has quadratic coordinate functions, $\bar{H}$ is determined by its restriction to $\phi_E(D_E)$). Note that the inverse image in $\mathbf{P}T_E^*\mathcal{M}$ of the conic $Sq|K| \subset |2K|$ under $\bar{H}$ is a quartic curve containing $\phi_E(D_E)$ and thus is equal to $\phi_E(D_E)$.

4.9 We study the construction above a little more closely and exhibit natural (up to scalar multiple) subsets of $H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)^*$ and $H^0(K)$ which correspond under the isomorphism $H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)^* \cong H^0(K)$ that we found in 4.4.

For any $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$ we have a section $as + bt \in H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)$, let:

$$Q_{(a:b)} := S^2(as + bt) = a^2Q_1 + 2abQ_2 + b^2Q_3.$$

The $Q_{(a:b)}$ are a quadratic system of conics, each of which is tangent to C_3 (that is, has even intersection multiplicity at each intersection point) because $Q_{(a:b)}$ cuts out twice the divisor of the section $as + bt \in H^0(K_3 \otimes \alpha)$.

There are 6 conics $H_i, i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, in the quadratic system of tangent conics which split as pairs of bitangents. They correspond to the six points

$$\langle s_i \rangle = \langle a_i s + b_i t \rangle \in \mathbf{P}^1 = \mathbf{P}H^0(K_3 \otimes \alpha), \quad \text{with} \quad \det(a_i^2 Q_1 + 2a_i b_i Q_2 + b_i^2 Q_3) = 0$$

(where we now view the Q_i as 3×3 matrices). In this way obtain 12 bitangents of C_3 . The other 16 bitangents are best seen by identifying C_3 with a hyperplane section of the Kummer surface S (4.7). In fact the divisor Θ and its translates by points of order two map to conics in S , the plane through such a conic intersects S in a double conic, and thus intersects the plane in which $\bar{D}_E$ lies in a bitangent of $\bar{D}_E$.

The following classical result relates these six points $\langle s_i \rangle$ to the Weierstrass points of the curve C .

4.10 Proposition. Under the natural isomorphism from 4.4:

$$\mathbf{P}H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{P}H^0(K)^*,$$

the six points which correspond to pairs of bitangents are mapped to the six linear maps corresponding to the Weierstrass points p_i of C :

$$\langle s_i \rangle \mapsto \langle [\omega \mapsto \omega(p_i)] \rangle \quad (\omega \in H^0(K)).$$

Proof. We recall the way D_E and C can be recovered from $H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)$. It will be shown that the double cover of $\mathbf{P}H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)$ branched over the 6 points corresponding to pairs of bitangents is isomorphic to C , which proves the Proposition.

Since we have $(st)^2 = (s^2)(t^2)$ on C_3 , the quartic equation of C_3 must be:

$$C_3 : \quad Q_1 Q_3 - Q_2^2 = 0.$$

The curve C_5 defined by:

$$s^2 = Q_1, \quad st = Q_2, \quad t^2 = Q_3 \quad (\subset \mathbf{P}^4)$$

is a canonically embedded genus 5 curve in $\mathbf{P}^4$ (with coordinates x, y, z, s, t and where x, y, z are a basis of $H^0(C_3, K_3)$).

Projection onto $\mathbf{P}^2$ defines a 2:1 unramified covering $\pi : C_5 \rightarrow C_3$ and clearly $\pi^*(K_3 \otimes \alpha) \cong K_{C_5}$, so π is defined by α and $C_5 \cong D_E$.

From the theory of Prym varieties we have (cf. [M], § 6):

$$Nm^{-1}(K_3) = P^+ \cup P^- \quad \text{with} \quad Nm : Pic^4(C_5) \longrightarrow Pic^4(C_3)$$

and P^+, P^- are both isomorphic to $J(C)$, the Prym variety of the cover $C_5 \rightarrow C_3$ ([V], p. 438). Here we have:

$$P^+ := \{L \in Pic^4(C_5) : Nm(L) = K_3, \dim H^0(C_5, L) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Theta} \cap P^+ = \Xi,$$

where $\tilde{\Theta}$ is the theta divisor in $Pic^4(C_5)$ and where Ξ is the theta divisor of the Prym variety (actually the intersection has multiplicity 2), so in our case $\Xi = C$.

A point of C thus corresponds to a g_4^1 on C_5 with norm K_3 . The g_4^1 's on C_5 are cut out by rulings of quadrics in the ideal of C_5 of rank ≤ 4 . The hyperelliptic involution on C corresponds to the permutation of the rulings in the rank 4 quadrics, so the Weierstrass points correspond to the g_4^1 's from rank 3 quadrics.

To a section $as + bt \in H^0(K_3 \otimes \alpha)$ corresponds a quadric of rank ≤ 4 in the ideal of C_5 given by:

$$(as + bt)^2 = a^2 Q^2 + 2ab Q_2 + b^2 Q_3, \quad \text{so} \quad (as + bt)^2 = Q_{(a,b)}.$$

Such a quadric has rank 3 iff $\det(Q_{(a:b)}) = 0$. Thus these rank 4 quadrics are parametrized by $|K_3 \otimes \alpha|$ and there are 6 rank 3 quadrics that correspond to the pairs of bitangents. Each quadric is a cone over a 2:1 cover of the plane $s = t = 0$ branched along the conic $Q_{(a:b)}$. The rulings of a rank four quadric are the two irreducible components in the inverse image of lines tangent to the conic $Q_{(a:b)}$; they are interchanged by the covering involution $(s, t) \mapsto -s, -t$.

Any $\mathbf{P}^2$ in such a rank 4 quadric thus projects to a line tangent to the conic $Q_{(a:b)}$ and the divisor cut out by the $\mathbf{P}^2$ on C_5 maps onto the divisor cut out on C_3 by that tangent line. Hence the norm of the g_4^1 's obtained from these quadrics is K_3 . This shows that C is indeed the double cover of $|K_3 \otimes \alpha|$ branched over the six points corresponding to pairs of bitangents. $\square$

4.11 The proposition allows us to make ‘consistent’ choices for the coordinate functions of the Hitchin map as E varies. Let $s_i \in H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)$ be the six sections which correspond to bitangents. Then H_i restricts to s_i^2 on C_3 , so if we put:

$$\bar{H} : \mathbf{PT}_E^* \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^2, \quad p \mapsto (H_1(p) : H_2(p) : H_3(p))$$

then we have a choice of coordinate functions for $\bar{H}$ which makes sense for any (general) E .

The only remaining problem is that we can still multiply each H_i by a function on $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{P}^3$ which has poles and zeros in the locus where the map $D_E \rightarrow \bar{D}_E$ is not an étale 2:1 map of smooth curves.

5 Computing the Hitchin map

5.1 In the previous section we saw that the polynomials H_i on $\mathbf{P}^3 \times (\mathbf{P}^3)^*$ defining the Hitchin map have the property: for any general $q \in \mathbf{P}^3$,

$$(H_i = 0) \cap \mathbf{PT}_q^* \mathbf{P}^3 = l_i \cup l'_i \quad (\mathbf{PT}_q^* \mathbf{P}^3 \subset \mathbf{PT}^* \mathbf{P}^3 = I = \{(x, h) \in \mathbf{P}^3 \times (\mathbf{P}^3)^* : x \in h\}),$$

where l_i and l'_i form the pair of bitangents to the smooth curve

$$C_3 := S \cap \mathbf{PT}_q^* \mathbf{P}^3$$

(see 4.7) corresponding to $s_i \in H^0(C_3, K_3 \otimes \alpha)$ (i.e. $(s_i^2) = C_3 \cap (l_i \cup l'_i)$). Here $S \subset (\mathbf{P}^3)^*$ is the Kummer surface of $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ and α is the bundle of order two defined by the étale double cover of C_3 obtained by pull-back from the map $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \rightarrow S$.

We now consider the problem of finding such polynomials.

5.2 This problem was actually solved a century ago using the relation between Kummer surfaces and Quadratic line complexes. The classical solution is as follows.

A line in $(\mathbf{P}^3)^*$ with Klein coordinates (see 5.3) $(x_1 : \dots : x_6) \in \mathbf{P}^5$ is a bitangent to the Kummer surface S occurring in one of the six pairs iff there is an $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ such that the following two equations are satisfied (see Proposition 6.7):

$$x_i = 0, \quad \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j^2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = 0 \quad (j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}),$$

where the λ_i correspond to the Weierstrass points of the curve C :

$$C : y^2 = (x - \lambda_1) \dots (x - \lambda_6).$$

We will show in 5.4 how to derive the H_i by ‘restricting’ these two equations to the incidence bundle I .

5.3 We start with some definitions from line geometry. The Plücker coordinates of the line $l = \langle (Z_0 : \dots : Z_3), (W_0 : \dots : W_3) \rangle \subset (\mathbf{P}^3)^*$ are:

$$p_{ij} := Z_i W_j - W_i Z_j \quad \text{and} \quad G : p_{01} p_{23} - p_{02} p_{13} + p_{03} p_{12} = 0$$

is the equation of the Grassmannian of lines, embedded in $\mathbf{P}^5$. The Klein coordinates of a line are:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= p_{01} + p_{23}, & X_3 &= i(p_{02} + p_{13}), & X_5 &= p_{03} + p_{12} \\ X_2 &= i(p_{01} - p_{23}), & X_4 &= p_{02} - p_{13}, & X_6 &= i(p_{03} - p_{12}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that each X_i corresponds to a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form in the Z_i, W_i . These six bilinear forms give sections Φ_i of the bundle projection $\mathbf{P}T^*\mathbf{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$:

$$\Phi_i : \mathbf{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}T^*\mathbf{P}^3 = I \subset \mathbf{P}^3 \times (\mathbf{P}^3)^*, \quad q \mapsto (q, \epsilon_i(q)) := (q, X_i(q, -)).$$

That $\Phi_i(q) \in I$ follows from the fact that X_i is alternating: $X_i(q, q) = 0$. Explicitly, if $q = (x : y : z : t) \in \mathbf{P}^3$, then the $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_i(q) \in \mathbf{P}^{3*}$ have the dual coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= (y : -x : t : -z), & \epsilon_3 &= (z : t : -x : -y), & \epsilon_5 &= (t : z : -y : -x) \\ \epsilon_2 &= (y : -x : -t : z), & \epsilon_4 &= (z : -t : -x : y), & \epsilon_6 &= (t : -z : y : -x). \end{aligned}$$

5.4 We show how to take care of the first equation. Let $q = (x : y : z : t) \in \mathbf{P}^3$. As the incidence bundle is the cotangent bundle we have:

$$T_q^*\mathbf{P}^3 = \{(u : v : w : s) \in (\mathbf{P}^3)^* : xu + yv + zw + ts = 0\}.$$

Note that we can rewrite the equation to obtain:

$$T_q^*\mathbf{P}^3 = \{p \in (\mathbf{P}^3)^* : X_i(\epsilon_i(q), p) = 0\}.$$

This implies that the lines in $T_q^*\mathbf{P}^3$ with $X_i = 0$ (which form a linear line complex) are exactly the lines passing through the point $\epsilon_i(q)$ (cf. [GH], p. 759-760).

In particular, if $T_q^*\mathbf{P}^3 \cap S$ is a smooth quartic curve, and l_i, l'_i are a pair of bitangents as before, then both lines have $X_i = 0$ and thus they must intersect in $\epsilon_i(q)$.

Let now $p \in T_q^*\mathbf{P}^3$, $p \neq \epsilon_i(q)$. The condition that $p \in l_i \cup l'_i$ is equivalent to demanding that the line $\langle \epsilon_i(q), p \rangle$ is one of these two bitangents. The i -th Klein coordinate of this line is zero because it passes through $\epsilon_i(q)$.

Thus for these lines the first equation is verified. We conclude:

$$p \in l_i \cup l'_i \subset \mathbf{P}T_q^*\mathbf{P}^3 \iff H_i(p, q) := \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j^2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = 0, \quad \text{with } x_j := X_j(\langle \epsilon_i(q), p \rangle),$$

the Klein coordinates of the line $\langle \epsilon_i(q), p \rangle \subset (\mathbf{P}^3)^*$.

The coordinates of $\epsilon_i(q)$ are linear in those of q and so the Plücker coordinates of $\langle \epsilon_i(q), p \rangle$ are homogeneous of bidegree (1,1) in those of q and p . Thus H_i is given by a homogeneous polynomial of bidegree (2,2) on $\mathbf{P}^3 \times (\mathbf{P}^3)^*$.

5.5 On the open subset $T^*\mathbf{C}^3 = \mathbf{C}^3 \times (\mathbf{C}^3)^*$ of $T^*\mathbf{P}^3$ one can obtain a CIHS from the polynomials H_i as follows.

Let (x, y, z) be coordinates on $\mathbf{C}^3$ and let (u, v, w) be the dual coordinates on $\mathbf{C}^{3*}$. Then, the inclusion of cotangent bundles followed by the (rational) projectivization map is given by

$$T^*\mathbf{C}^3 \longrightarrow T^*\mathbf{P}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}T^*\mathbf{P}^3$$

$$(q, p) := ((x, y, z), (u, v, w)) \mapsto (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) := ((x : y : z : 1), (u : v : w : -(xu + yv + zw))).$$

(Note that the last coordinate is obtained from the incidence condition.) Now we define:

$$H_i^a(p, q) = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{X_j(\langle \epsilon_i(\tilde{q}), (u : v : w : -(xu + yv + zw)) \rangle)^2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}.$$

The H_i are homogeneous of degree (2,2), and the last coordinate has degree one in x, y, z so the H_i^a will have degree ≤ 4 in the x, y, z (and need not be homogeneous in these variables), but they are still homogeneous of degree 2 in the u, v, w .

With the help of a computer, one can explicitly write down the polynomials H_i^a (the expressions are rather long though). To verify that these polynomials actually Poisson commute (with respect to the standard two form $dx \wedge du + dy \wedge dv + dz \wedge dw$) we again used the computer (after normalizing three of the λ_i 's by a linear fractional transformation). This then allows us to conclude that the map $H^a : T^*\mathbf{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^3$ (whose coordinate functions are any three of the six H_i^a 's) is a CIHS.

It seems reasonable to expect that the CIHS defined by these H_i^a is actually Hitchin's system, but we could not establish that.

6 Quadratic Line Complexes

6.1 In this section we recall how the equations for the bitangents are determined. We summarize the results we need from [GH], Chapter 6 and follow [Hu].

Let $G \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ be the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbf{P}^3$, so G is viewed as a quadric in $\mathbf{P}^5$. For $x \in G$ we denote by l_x the corresponding line in $\mathbf{P}^3$. For $p \in \mathbf{P}^3$ and $h \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ a plane we define

$$\sigma(p) := \{x \in G : p \in l_x\}, \quad \sigma(h) := \{x \in G : l_x \subset h\}.$$

Both $\sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(h)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^2$, in fact any (linear) $\mathbf{P}^2$ in G is either a $\sigma(p)$ or a $\sigma(h)$. Let L be a line in G , then L is the intersection of a (unique) $\sigma(p)$ with a (unique) $\sigma(h)$:

$$L = \sigma(p) \cap \sigma(h) = \{x \in G : p \in l_x \subset h\}.$$

We will sometimes write $h = h_L$, $p = p_L$ and $L = L_{p,h}$. Thus the points on the line L (in G) correspond to the lines (in $\mathbf{P}^3$) in a pencil in h with ‘focus’ p .

6.2 A quadratic line complex X is the intersection of G with another quadric F ; we assume X to be smooth.

$$X := G \cap F.$$

For any $p \in \mathbf{P}^3$, the intersection of $\sigma(p) = \mathbf{P}^2 \subset G$ with the quadric F is a conic in $\sigma(p)$. Let

$$S := \{p \in \mathbf{P}^3 : \sigma(p) \cap F \text{ is singular}\},$$

then S is a Kummer surface.

6.3 If $\sigma(p) \cap F$ is singular, it is the union of two lines L, L' or it is a double line. The double lines correspond to the 16 singular points of S . The points $x \in L$ correspond to the lines in a plane h_L passing through p , similarly the points in L' correspond to lines in a plane $h_{L'}$ passing through p . These pencils are called confocal pencils (having the same focus p). Note that the line $l = h_L \cap h_{L'}$ lies in both these pencils; it is called a singular line of the complex X . This line l corresponds to the intersection of L and L' in G : $[l] = L \cap L'$.

The singular lines of X form a smooth surface Σ in G .

$$\Sigma := \{x \in X : l_x \text{ is a singular line in } X\}.$$

The set Σ is determined in [GH], p. 767-769:

$$x \in \Sigma \iff T_x F = T_{x'} G \quad \text{for some } x' \in G.$$

6.4 In Klein coordinates X_i the relation between the points x and x' above assumes a very simple form. Any quadratic line complex X can be given by ([GH], p. 789):

$$G : X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_6^2 = 0, \quad F : \lambda_1 X_1^2 + \lambda_2 X_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_6 X_6^2 = 0, \quad X = G \cap F.$$

Then S is the Kummer variety associated with the genus two curve

$$C : y^2 = (x - \lambda_1) \dots (x - \lambda_6).$$

The equations defining the surface Σ are then ([GH], p. 769)

$$\Sigma = G \cap F \cap F_2, \quad F_2 : \lambda_1^2 X_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_6^2 X_6^2 = 0.$$

Let now

$$x = (x_1 : \dots : x_6) \in \Sigma \implies T_x F : \lambda_1 x_1 X_1 + \dots + \lambda_6 x_6 X_6 = 0.$$

Defining

$$x' := (\lambda_1 x_1 : \dots : \lambda_6 x_6), \implies x' \in G, \quad T_{x'} G : \lambda_1 x_1 X_1 + \dots + \lambda_6 x_6 X_6 = 0,$$

so $T_x F = T_{x'} G$ and x' satisfies the required condition.

6.5 Lemma. Let $x \in \Sigma \subset G$ and let $x' \in G$ as above. Define a line:

$$L := \langle x, x' \rangle \subset \mathbf{P}^5.$$

Then we have $L \subset G$ and

$$L = L_{p,h} \quad \text{with} \quad p \in S, \quad h = T_p S,$$

so that the points $y \in L$ correspond to the lines $l_y \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ with $p \in l_y \subset T_p S$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ let

$$\{[l_i]\} := (X_i = 0) \cap L \quad (\in G \subset \mathbf{P}^5).$$

Then l_i is a bitangent line to S . Moreover, if p is a general point of S then any bitangent to S passing through p is one of the six l_i 's.

Proof. Since $x \in T_{x'} G$ we have $L \subset G$ (this is also easily verified using the three equations defining Σ). Then $L = L_{p,h}$ with $p = l_x \cap l_{x'}$. We claim that $p \in S$. Since $\sigma(p)$ is a linear subspace in G passing through x' we have $\sigma(p) \subset T_{x'} G$, and thus also $\sigma(p) \subset T_x F (= T_{x'} G)$. Thus $\sigma(p)$ is tangent to F at x , so $\sigma(p) \cap F$ is singular in x . Therefore $p \in S$ (and l_x is the singular line of X passing through p).

Any point on L , distinct from x , can be written as:

$$x_\lambda := \lambda x + x' = (\dots : (\lambda + \lambda_i)x_i : \dots) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbf{C}).$$

It is easy to check by substitution that $x \in \Sigma \Rightarrow x_\lambda \in \Sigma_\lambda$ with:

$$\Sigma_\lambda := G \cap F^{(\lambda)} \cap F_2^{(\lambda)}, \quad (\lambda \neq -\lambda_i)$$

and where we define:

$$F^{(\lambda)} : (\lambda + \lambda_1)^{-1}X_1^2 + \dots + (\lambda + \lambda_6)^{-1}X_6^2 = 0, \quad F_2^{(\lambda)} : (\lambda + \lambda_1)^{-2}X_1^2 + \dots + (\lambda + \lambda_6)^{-2}X_6^2 = 0.$$

Thus x_λ corresponds to a singular line for the quadratic complex $X_\lambda := G \cap F^{(\lambda)}$.

As above, there exists thus a point $x'_\lambda \in G$ with:

$$T_{x_\lambda} F^{(\lambda)} = T_{x'_\lambda} G, \quad x'_\lambda := (\dots : x_i : \dots) = x \in G.$$

Therefore x_λ and x'_λ lie on the line $L \subset G$ and thus the point p is a point of S_λ , the Kummer surface associated to the quadratic line complex X_λ . This holds for all singular lines x of X (and thus for all points $p \in S$), therefore we conclude:

$$S = S_\lambda.$$

In particular, there is a one-dimensional family of quadratic line complexes X_λ which give rise to the same Kummer surface, the so called Klein variety (see [NR1] for a modern treatment).

Now we can determine h . Each $x_\lambda \in L$ is a singular line for a quadratic line complex defining S . Then the line in $\mathbf{P}^3$ corresponding to it is tangent to S at the (unique; cf. the verification on p. 767 of [GH]) point $p_\lambda \in S$ with $x_\lambda = \text{Sing}(\sigma(p_\lambda) \cap F)$ (cf. [GH], p. 764-765, p. 791). In our case, $p_\lambda = p$ for all λ , so we conclude that L is the pencil of lines in $\mathbf{P}^3$ that are tangent to S at p , which implies $h = T_p S$.

The lines from L that are bitangent to S are thus the bitangents of the curve $T_p S \cap S$. This is, in general, a plane quartic curve with a node at p , so there are six bitangents to S in the pencil L . These must then correspond to the values $\lambda = -\lambda_i$, since for other values the lines x_λ are singular lines of a smooth quadratic line complex and cannot be tangent to S at other points. Thus the bitangent lines in $T_p S$ passing through p correspond to the points on L with exactly one Klein coordinate equal to zero. $\square$

6.6 Remarks. Viewing S as $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)/\iota$, the divisors $T_p S \cap S$ (for p smooth) correspond to the divisors

$$D_\beta := L_\beta^* \Theta + L_{-\beta}^* \Theta \in |2\Theta|, \quad (\beta \in \text{Pic}^0(C))$$

with $2\beta \neq \mathcal{O}$. These are the union of two copies of $C (= \Theta)$ meeting in two points. These two points, and the two copies, are interchanged by ι , the quotient is a nodal curve isomorphic to C with the two points identified. The normalization of $T_p S \cap S$ is thus isomorphic with C . The six bitangents to C correspond to the lines spanned by p and (the image in $T_p S \cap S$) of a Weierstrass point of C . This, once again, establishes the connection between bitangents to S (and its plane sections) and Weierstrass points on C .

The 16 non-reduced divisors $L_\beta^*(2\Theta) \in |2\Theta|$ (so $2\beta = \mathcal{O}$) map to double conics. A point on a double conic is ‘exceptional’ for the Lemma since any line tangent to S at a point of the conic lies in the plane of the conic and is thus a bitangent to S . These (double) conics are called the tropes of the Kummer surface.

The ‘self’-duality of the Kummer surface $S = \delta(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C))$ fits in nicely with the map $\delta : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \rightarrow |2\Theta|_0$ from 3.1, the duality between $|2\Theta|_0$ and $|2\Theta|$, and the map $\delta' : \text{Pic}^0(C) \rightarrow |2\Theta|$, $\beta \mapsto D_\beta$. In fact, it identifies the tangent planes to points of S (which cut out D_β) with the points $\pm\beta \in \text{Pic}^0(C)/\pm 1 \cong \delta'(\text{Pic}^0(C))$ which is the Kummer surface of $\text{Pic}^0(C)$. This surface is isomorphic to $S = \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)/\iota$, but the ‘self’-duality is however not an isomorphism; it is a birational isomorphism which blows up double points and blows down tropes.

The special case that the line L in the Lemma actually lies in X is studied in [GH], p. 791-796 (note that they fix the quadratic line complex whereas in the proof of the Lemma we consider a family of complexes).

6.7 Proposition. For $x \in G$ the line l_x is a bitangent to a general point of S iff for some $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ one has:

$$x_i = 0, \quad \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j^2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = 0.$$

Proof. In Lemma 6.5 we saw that any such bitangent l_z of S has one Klein coordinate equal to zero, we will assume it is the first one. Then

$$z = (0 : (-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x_2 : \dots : (-\lambda_1 + \lambda_6)x_6) \quad \text{with} \quad x = (x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_6) \in \Sigma,$$

in particular $x \in X = G \cap F$. Substituting the coordinates of z in the second equation we get:

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)x_2^2 + \dots + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_6)x_6^2 = \lambda_1(x_1^2 + \dots + x_6^2) - (\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_6 x_6^2) = 0.$$

Conversely, let $z = (0 : z_2 : \dots : z_6) \in G$ satisfy also the second equation above, so:

$$z_2^2 + \dots + z_6^2 = 0, \quad (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1} z_2^2 + \dots + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_6)^{-1} z_6^2 = 0.$$

Then we define:

$$x_i := (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1} z_i, \quad (2 \leq i \leq 6), \quad x_1 := \sqrt{-(x_2^2 + \dots + x_6^2)},$$

here the choice of the square root does not matter. Define

$$x := (x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_6), \quad \text{so} \quad x_1^2 + \dots + x_6^2 = 0$$

and we have $x \in G$ (the quadric defined by $X_1^2 + \dots + X_6^2$.)

We claim that l_x is a singular line of S . For this we verify that x satisfies the other two quadratic equations defining Σ . First of all:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_6 x_6^2 &= -\lambda_1(x_2^2 + \dots + x_6^2) + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_6 x_6^2 \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)x_2^2 + \dots + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_6)x_6^2 \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1} z_2^2 + \dots + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_6)^{-1} z_6^2 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $x \in F$. We use these two relations on the x_i 's to obtain the third:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_6^2 x_6^2 &= (\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_6^2 x_6^2) - 2\lambda_1(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_6 x_6^2) + \lambda_1^2(x_1^2 + \dots + x_6^2) \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 x_2^2 + \dots + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_6)^2 x_6^2 \\ &= z_2^2 + \dots + z_6^2 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $z = (0 : z_2 : \dots : z_6) \in G$. Thus $x \in F_2$ and we conclude $x \in \Sigma$, so we verified that l_x is a singular line. Note that (with notation from 6.4):

$$x' = (\lambda_1 x_1 : \dots : \lambda_6 x_6), \quad \text{so} \quad z = -\lambda_1 x + x'$$

and thus l_z is indeed a bitangent to S (see the proof of the Lemma). □

References

- [B1] A. Beauville, Fibrés de rang 2 sur une courbe, fibré déterminant et fonctions thêta, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **116** (1988), 431-448.
- [B2] A. Beauville, —, II, *ibid.* **119** (1991), 259-291.
- [Be] A. Bertram, Moduli of rank-2 vector bundles, theta divisors, and the geometry of curves in projective space, *J. Differential Geom.* **35** (1992), 429-469.
- [BNR] A. Beauville, M.S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, Spectral curves and the generalized theta divisor, *J. reine angew. Math.* **398** (1989), 169-179.

- [F] G. Faltings, Stable G-bundles and projective connections, *J. Alg. Geometry* **2** (1993), 507-568.
- [GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley, 1978.
- [H1] N.J. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 59-126.
- [H2] N.J. Hitchin, Stable bundles and integrable systems, *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987), 91-114.
- [Hu] R.W.H.T. Hudson, *Kummer's quartic surface*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [L] Y. Laszlo, Un théorème de Riemann pour les diviseurs thêta sur les espaces des modules de fibrés stables sur une courbe, *Duke Math. J.* **64** (1991), 333-347.
- [M] D. Mumford, Prym varieties I, in *Contributions to Analysis*, Academic Press, 1974, pp. 325-350.
- [NR1] M.S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of Math.* **89** (1969), 19-51.
- [NR2] M.S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, 2Θ linear systems on Abelian varieties, in *Vector Bundles on algebraic varieties*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1987, pp. 415-427.
- [V] A. Verra, The fibre of the Prym map in genus three, *Math. Ann.* **276** (1987), 433-448.

Bert van Geemen
 Department of Mathematics
 P.O. Box 80.010
 3508 TA Utrecht
 The Netherlands

e-mail: geemen@math.ruu.nl

Emma Previato
 Department of Mathematics
 Boston University
 Boston, MA 02215
 USA

e-mail: ep@math.bu.edu